

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/620,003 07/		07/14/2003	Salman Akram	2269-3521.5US (97-0985.05	7478	
24247	7590 08/10/2004		EXAMINER			
TRASK B	RITT		NGUYEN, KHIEM D			
P.O. BOX	2550					
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2823		
				DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No	plication No. Applicant(s)						
		10/620,003		SALMAN AKRAM					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	1				
		Khiem D Nguye	n .	2823	AN				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 I	<u>May 2004</u> .							
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-	final.						
3)□ Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1,2,6,8,9,11,13-18,21-26 and 28-35 is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,8,9,11,13-18,21-26 and 28-35</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)□	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
· a)[a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment		a phony didor		wildred 121.					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>0</u>	4) 5) 71403 . 6)		r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-15					
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 080604					

Application/Control Number: 10/620,003

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment and Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13-18, 21-26, and 28-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

New Grounds of Rejection

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13-18, 21-26, and 28-35 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 12-40 of U.S. Patent No. 6,235,630, claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent 6,599,832, and claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent 6,716,745. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because despite the fact that none of the references explicitly mentioned in their claimed inventions that the at least one contact being configured to be received by a recess of a contact pad of a semiconductor device, the semiconductor substrate having at least one contact projection as disclosed in the

Application/Control Number: 10/620,003

Art Unit: 2823

references would inherently constructed so that it could be received by a recess of a contact pad of a semiconductor device.

Response to Amendment and Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 11, 13-18, 21-26, and 28-35 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khiem D Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1865. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/620,003

Art Unit: 2823

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on (571) 272-1855. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

K.N. August 6, 2004

> W. DAVID COLEMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER